

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/760,994	01/20/2004	Martin C. Wilkes	F-PRCB-14	1638	
	7590 09/26/2007 ON & EVANS, LLP	1	EXAMINER		
2700 CAREW TOWER			GAKH, YELENA G		
441 VINE STREET CINCINNATI, OH 45202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1743		
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	No. Applicant(s)				
		10/760,994	WILKES, MARTIN C.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	<u> </u>	Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.	1743				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address				
VVHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply to fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ARAND.	ION.  The timely filed from the mailing date of this communication.				
Status							
1)[🔀]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ja	nuon, 2004					
2a)□		action is non-final.					
3)	,						
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quavle 1935 C.D. 11	453 O.G. 213				
Dispositi	ion of Claims	x parte quayre, 1000 O.B. 11	, 400 0.0. 210.				
	Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application						
	_ , ,						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) <u>1,2</u> are subject to restriction and/or ele	action requirement					
		ettori requirement.					
	on Papers	•					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d						
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Off	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ived in this National Stage				
* \$	application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of						
J	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	i the certified copies not rece	vea.				
Attachment	<b>''</b>						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail	ary (PTO-413) Date				
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informa					
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/760,994

Art Unit: 1743

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claim 1, drawn to trifluoromethane hydroxycinnamic acid, classified in class 560, subclass 1+.
  - II. Claim 2, drawn to mass spectrometric analysis, classified in class 436, subclass173.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product can be used as a reagent in organic syntesis.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Beverly A. Lyman on 09/19/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

Art Unit: 1743

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-1257. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

YELENA GAKH PRIMARY EXAMINER

9/20/2007